	UNITED STATES DISTRICT	CouRT	FILED HARRISBURG PA		
•	FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNS		MAR 0 4 2019		
		'	PER AT		
	ROHSAAN MATTHENS		DEPUTY CLERK		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Plaintiff,				
	The state of the s				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1/	Comelani			
, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		Civil No	3:19 cv 385		
<del></del>	DAVID FREERT,				
•	T. CRAWFORD Defendants.				
· <del>·······················</del>	Defendants.		****		
			······································		
	1. JURISDICTION O	VENUE			
	- CARBUICATURY	That Why			
	This is a Bivens action authorized by 28 U.S.C. § 139(6) to redress the deprivation, under Waconstitutional acts and color of federal law, of rights secured by the Constitution of the United States. The court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331.				
	The second secon		····		
	2. The District Court for The Middle District of Pennsylvenia is an appropriate venue under 42 u.s.c.				
	\$ 1997 (2)(a) because it is where the events giving				
	II. PLAINTIFF				
	3. Maintiff Robsgan Matthews, in propria persona	is and was at all times mention	ned herein a Prisoner		
	3. Maintitt Rohsgan Matthews, in propria persona, is and was at all times mentioned herein a Prisoner of USP Lewisburg in the austody of the United States Penitentiary, Lewisburg Penasylvania. ("USP Lewisburg").  He is currently confined in United States Penitentiary, Lewisburg; Penasylvania.				
	He is currently confined in United states Pententiar	v. Lewisburg Genosulvenia			
.,		0' 0			
	III. DEFENDANTS				
·		,	·		
	PAG) F	<i>(</i> 4 <i>)</i>			
		·			

	4. Defendant David Ebbert is the worder of United states Penitentian, Lewisburg Rensylvania. He is
· .	4. Defendant David Ebbert is the warden of United states Penitentiany, Lewisburg, Renasylvania. He is legally responsible for the operation of Lewisburg, Penasylvania, ("USP Lewisburg") Penitentiary and for the western of all the inmates in that prison.
	the westere of all the inmates in that prison.
•	
	5. Detendant T. Crawtord is Special Investigation Support (SIS) Technician, he assist in conducting investigations
	of inmate violations of the Rol's inmate discipline pregram and is responsible for controlling and processing the
,	5. Detendant T. Crawterd is Special Investigation Support (SIS) Technician, he assist in conducting investigations  I immate violations of the Bol's inmate discipline program and is responsible for controlling and processing the  enhanced mail monitoring procedures for an assigned caseload of immates.
	0
,,	o Each Defendant is sued individually and in his official capacity. At all fines, mentioned in this complaint
	Co. Each Defendant is sued individually and in his official capacity. At all fimes, mentioned in this compilaint each defendants under unconstitutional acts and acted under color of tederal laws.
! 	
<del> </del>	III. FACTS
	7. On the day of August 9, 2018, I received a incident report regard to thuse of the Telephone. However, I did not
	1. On the day of August 9, Delle, I received a incident report regard to thuse of the Telephone. However, I did not abuse anything or committed any offense on the telephone.
	8. On heday of August 9, Roll at approximately 7:25 amos Special Investigation Support (SID Technician T. Craisford
····	Was listening to my telephone call placed by me on the day of August 8, 2018 at 5:59 pm on phone line 3729- LEW-B-A to phone symbor (808) 209-1173.
1	LEW-8-11 to phone symber (808/209-1173.
	9. The shope number is registered to Lancilona Holan signi with an address of 82-6626 Nd August Park
i	9. The phone number is registered to Langilangi Halaapiapi with an address of 83-5535 ad Government Road.  Captain Cook, HI. 97604. The phone was placed into cell 302 at 1:38 pm and remained in the cell until it was removed
	at 6:36 pm. Which was my cell and I called home to a family member.
; ! 	d and the state of
	10. At 40 seconds into the call, the Temale Cmy Tamily member) call recipient said, "The number goukeap giving me is
	trong. I then spoke in my notive language Hawaiian, a language (SIS) Technician T. Con Food continot decipher.
	So, I received a incident report because (SIS) Technician T. Crawford assumed I was trying to bring in
	narcotics or drugs.
··· ···	0
4	
	(G(2) 2 C4)

[ <b>!</b>	
	·
of speech. And a" due Precess" of Law under the	e U.S. Constitution of America.
19. The Maintiff Matthews has no plain, adequa	te or complete remedy at law to redress the wrongs doon the
therein Plaintiff has been and will continue to	te or complete remedy at law to redress the wrongs described be inceparably injured by the conduct of the detendants
funless this court grants reject which plaintiff seed	Ks.
VI. PRAYER FOR	BEUEF
WHEBEFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prayes the	atthis court enter judgment Plaintiff;
······································	52,000 against each detendant jointly and severally.
2. Sunitive damages in the amount of \$ 62,000	against each detendant
3. A jury trial on all issues triably by jury.	
4. Plaintoff's costs in this suits	
5. Any additional relief this court doesn's just, pro	oper, and equitable
Dated: February 28, 2019	
Koshaan Matthews 04892-122.	
P.O. Bax 1000	
Lewisburg, PA 17837	regaing is true and correct."
Dated: February 28,2019  Executed at Lewisburg, fenasylvania.	without Prejudice
Executed at Lewisburg, Genasylvania.	Roshan Matthers RoshAN MATTHESS
PCC	) J (4)

	Il. The number I give my family member was an imparte pumber (Register number) for a Pen Pal. That
	III. The number I give my family member was an immate number (Register number) for a few fall. That was all I did, hewever, (Sis) I Cranstord construed the language to pursuade the readers, like I was trying to commit a crime, I was just speaking immy native language.
	Anying to commit a crime, I was just speaking in my native language
	D. The (otto) Discipline Hearing Officer B. Chambers find the evidence to be less credible, thereby giving it less
	weight than that to which the greater weight is given; the incident report was not accurate and there was
<del></del> :	De the little) Discipline Hearing Officer B. Chambers find the evidence to be less credible, thereby giving it less weight than that to which the greater weight is given, the incident report was not accurate and there was a discrepency in the time that the reporting officer T. Oraw and became aware of Ges Technician.
	13. I was punish for speaking in my native language (samoan), because the (sis) Technician could not
	13. I was quaish for speaking in my native language (sommon), because the (sis) Technician could not deciphed the language. (sis) Technician T. Anusterd stated my "coded/veited language hamper the ability of staff to properly manitor the immates call.
	- 1 of STAIL TO PROPERTY WITH THE STATE CALL.
+=	14. I received disablesed Good anduct Time is imposed as a sonotion to demonstrate that engaging in
	misconduct, and loss of phone privileges as a sanction involved circumventing established telephone.
<del> </del>	phone Call However, I did not committen of otherse to receive such sanctions. See Exhibit A
	IV. EXHAUSTICAL OF LEGAL REMEDIES
	15. T. Roshaan Matthesis used the grievance procedure available at USP Lewisburg. The Regional Director
	Stated that the granted partied of the grievance, yet, nothing was done . Sec Estribit B.
,	V. LEGAL CLAIMS
	17. Plaintiff Matthews reallege and incorporate by reference paragraph 1-16.
	18. The distandant's degrive me of my right to impartial fact finder and my right to call my family in
!	18. The distandant's deprive me of my right to importive fact hinder and my right to call my tamily in victorian of the First and Fifth Amendment's to the U.S. Contribution of America. The right to Freedom
<u> </u>	
	PG(3) D-(4)

Case 3:19-cv-00385-ARC-PT Document 1 Filed 03/04/19 Page 4 of 11

# Case 3:19-cv-00385-ARC-PT Document 1 Filed 03/04/19 Page 5 of 11 EXhibit B

#### REJECTION NOTICE - ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY :

DATE: OCTABER 12, 2018

FROM: ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY COORDINATOR

NORTHEAST REGIONAL OFFICE

TO : ROHSAAN MATTHEWS, 04892-122

LEWISBURG USP UNT: B-BLOCK

2400 ROBERT F. MILLER DRIVE

LEWISBURG, PA 17837

FOR THE REASONS LISTED BELOW, THIS REGIONAL APPEAL
IS BEING REJECTED AND RETURNED TO YOU. YOU SHOULD INCLUDE A COPY
OF THIS NOTICE WITH ANY FUTURE CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING THE REJECTION.

REMEDY ID : 956642-R1 REGIONAL APPEAL

DATE RECEIVED : OCTOBER 9, 2018

SUBJECT 1 : DHO APPEAL - COMBINED (PROCEDURES, EVIDENCE & SANCTIONS)

SUBJECT 2 : INCIDENT RPT NO: 3156306

REJECT REASON 1: ALL FOUR PAGES OF YOUR (BP-9) (PP-10) (BP-11) FORM MUST BE

LEGIBLE AND WORDED THE SAME. PHOTOCOPIES OF THE FORM WILL

QTR: B03-307U

NOT BE ACCEPTED.

REJECT REASON 2: SEE REMARKS.

REJECT REASON 3: YOU MAY RESUBMIT YOUR APPEAL IN PROPER FORM WITHIN

10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THIS REJECTION NOTICE.

REMARKS : PAGES 2, 3, AND 4 OF THE BP10 ARE NOT LEGIBLE.

PEGIONAL COUNSEL OFFICE

OCT 22 2018

NEROPHILARY

Dogument 1 Filed 03/04/19 Page 6 of 11 Case 3:19-cv-00385-AF Regional Administrative Remedy Appeal Federal Bureau of Prisons Type or use ball-point pen. If attachments are needed, submit four copies. One copy of the completed BP-229(13) including any attachments must be submitted with this appeal. 04892-122 From: ROHSAAN MATTHEWS B-BLECK LEWISBURG LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL Part A REASON FOR APPEAL THIS APPEAL IS IN DEFERENCE TO INCIDENT REPORT # 315 6306. THE REPORTING OFFICER (F. CRAWFORD) STATED THAT I WAS TALKING IN CODE, BUT I WASN'T. COULDN'T FULLY UNDERSTAND WHAT I WAS SAYING, SO I SPOKE IN SAMOAN SO SHE COULD UNDERSTAND ME BETTER, ALSO, THERES A DISCREPENCEY IN THE TIME THAT THE REPORTING BECAME AWARE OF THIS INCIDENT STAFF HAD AMPLE AMOUT OF TIME TO BOX SE WRITE IF NEEDED BE PER POSTY STATEMENT 52 TO . 09 THIS VIOLATES MY DUE PROCESS RIGHTS, IN RELIEF, I DO NOT DISPUTE THE ACCURACY OF THE CONTENT OF THE CALL, IM SAYING THAT NO PROHIBITED ACT WAS COMMITTED BY ME, THERE FORE THIS CHARGE SHOULD BE REDUCED TO A CODE 397 MSTEAD OF A 197 THIS GIVING MEA REHEARING. THANKS IN ADVANCE P.S ATTACHMENT IS DHO PACKET ALSO REJECTION NOTICE, WHICH I RECIEVE 10.17.18 OCT-17 2014 Part B - RESPONSE re attacked 16200 RECEIVED Warden's Office NOV 2 6 2018 LISP Lewis U.T. REGIONAL DIRECTOR

DATE

REGIONAL DIRECTOR

If dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the General Counsel. Your appeal must be received in the General Counsel's Office within 30 calcindar days of the date of this response.

SECOND COPY: RETURN TO INMATE

CASE NUMBER:

Part C - RECEIPT

CASE NUMBER:

Return to:

LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL

REG. NO. UNIT INSTITUTION

SUBJECT:

DATE

SIGNATURE. RECIPIENT OF REGIONAL APPEAL

Case 3:19-cv-00385-ARC-PT\_Document 1 Filed 03/04/19 Page 7-of 11

BP-A0304 JAN 17

#### DISCIPLINE HEARING OFFICER REPORT

•		
U.S. DEF	PARTMENT OF HISTICE	

FEDERAL BUREAU PRISONS

	FEDERAL BUREAU PRISONS			
Institution: USP, Lewisburg	Incident Report Number: 3156306			
NAME OF INMATE: MATTHEWS, Rohsaan	REG. NO.: 04892-122 UNIT: B-Block			
Date of Incident Report: 8-9-2018	Offense Code: 297			
Date of Incident: 8-8-2018	25			
Summary of Charges: Abuse of the Telephone				
I. NOTICE OF CHARGE(S)	* -7			
A. Advanced written notice of charge (co	opy of Incident Report) was given to immate on (date)			
B. The DHO Hearing was held on (date)	oy staff member)C. Kowalchick .			
C. The inmate was advised of the rights B. Tharp on (date) 8-14-2018 and	before the DHO by (staff member): d copy of the advisement of rights form is attached.			
II. STAFF REPRESENTATIVE				
A. Inmate waived right to staff represer	otative. Yes _XX No			
B. Inmate requested staff representative and _ H/A _ appeared.				
C. Staff Representative statement: N/A .				
D. Requested staff representative declined or could not appear but inmate was advised of option to postpone hearing to obtain another staff representative with the result that:  At the time he appeared before the UDC, inmate Matthews requested Case Manager Cotterall as a staff representative in this case. Ms. Cotterall was away from the institution unexpectedly on the date of the hearing. Inmate Matthews was given the option to postpone the hearing until such time as Ms. Cotterall was available or to obtain another staff representative. Inmate Matthews stated he no longer desired staff representation in this case and was waiving his right to a staff representative in this case. Inmate Matthews was not able to sign or initial the BP-294 form as a result of hand restraints applied behind his back during the hearing. Inmate Matthews' verbal waiver of his right to staff representation, however, was witnessed by Officer Paul, who was escorting Matthews during the hearing.  E. Staff representative N/A was appointed.				
III. PRESENTATION OF EVIDENCE				
(4000)	(neither) the charge(s).			
B. Summary of inmate statement:	100			

Inmate Matthews acknowledged he understood his rights before the DHO and was ready to proceed with the hearing. Inmate Matthews presented no documents for the DHO to consider. Inmate Matthews testified that he is not disputing the accuracy of the content of the telephone call he placed on 8-8-2018 at 5:59 PM, as documented in Section 11 of the incident report in this case. Inmate Matthews testified, however, he is denying committing any prohibited act in this case. Inmate Matthews testified, in response to Section 11 of the incident report, "how am I speaking in code when I am speaking in my native language. It wasn't even Hawaiian I was speaking it was Samoan. He (the reporting officer) didn't even have one of the numbers right. I didn't say "akahi", I said "tashi", he was just writing it to make it fit what he wanted it to mean." The DHO asked what the series of numbers was that he was relating to the female call recipient. Matthews testified, "it was my cellmate's (TOLSON, Charles #53582-018) federal register number. I was giving it to her so she could get him set up with a pen pal. That's all that was going on." The DHO asked Matthews, if that was "all that was going on", then why didn't Matthews say Tolson's register number in the English language? Matthews testified, "I don't know. I don't really have an explanation. That's just how I always did it with her?" After the DHO announced the findings and sanctions in this case, Matthews stated, "if that's how this is going to go, then I want to note a discrepancy in the times in the incident report. The officer wrote he became aware of the incident on 8-9-2018 at 7:25 AM, yet he also states, "on the above date (8-9-2018) at approximately 11:25 AM, this officer was listening to a phone call placed by inmate MATTHEWS, Rohsaan #04892-122. There is a discrepancy in times between 7:25 AM and 11:25 AM. That should invalidate the incident report." The DHO explained that the hearing had already concluded, and if Matthews wanted to make an issue of the discrepancy in the times, he should have brought it up during the hearing. The DHO further explained the discrepancy in the times noted is clearly a typographical error. Finally, the DHO noted Matthews received sufficient advance written notice of the charges, as the time the reporting

Case 3:19-cv-00385-ARC-PT | Daguing 1 Filed 03/04/19 Page 8 of 11

FENERAL BUIDEAU DRICONG

BP-A0304 JAN 17

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

#### DISCIPLINE HEARING OFFICER REPORT

				I DDIAGO DOIGONO I IGOONS
Name of Inc	nate:	Reg. No.:		Hearing Date:
MATTHEW	VS, Rohsaan	04892-122		8-22-2018
case. In	ed in Section 11 of the immate Matthews made no co	incident report, who emplaints of procedu	ich is the factu ural errors duri	the content of the call as al basis for the charges in this ng the hearing, with exception ned to the audio recording of
-	Witnesses: Inmate waived right to	witness. Yes XX	No	
2. na	The following persons w me and statement listed	ere called as witned below): <u>N/A</u> .	ess at this hear	ing and appeared (Each witness
3. na	The following persons r me and statement listed	equested were not obelow): N/A .	alled for the re	eason(s) given (Each witness
4. re	Unavailable witnesses we ceived were considered (	ere requested to su Each witness name a	ibmit written sta	atements and those statements stad below): _N/A
Federal E inmate MA	nsidered the following d Sureau of Prisons Inmate	ocuments: Center Reports; Au	dio recording of	the telephone call placed by ne line 3729-LEW-B-A to phone
to co	the inmate. The confid	ential information	was documented i	s findings, but was not revealed in a separate report. The ave been) determined to be
·	INDINGS OF THE DHO  X A. The act was commit B. The following act		C. No prohi	ibited act was committed: ng to Inmate Discipline PS.

V. SPECIFIC EVIDENCE RELIED ON TO SUPPORT FINDINGS (Physical evidence, observations, written documents, etc.):

The DHO finds that inmate Matthews committed the prohibited act of Use of the Telephone for Abuses Which Circumvent the Ability of Staff to Monitor Frequency of Telephone Use and the Content of the Call, Code 297. This finding is based on the eyewitness written account of the reporting officer, which indicates on 8-9-2018 at approximately 7:25 a.m., the reporting officer was listening to a telephone call placed by inmate MATTHEWS, Rohsaan \$04892-122 on 8-8-2018 at 5:59 p.m. on phone line 3729-LEW-B-A to phone number (808) 209-1173. The phone number is registered to Langilangi Halaapiapi with an address of 83-5535 Old Government Road, Captain Cook, HI 97604. The phone was placed into cell 302 at 1:38 p.m. and remained in the cell until it was removed at 6:36 p.m. At 40 seconds into the call, the female call recipient said, "The number you keep giving me is wrong." Inmate Matthews started to stumble with his words and told the female to "hold on." After a couple seconds, inmate Matthews began to talk in Hawaiian. Inmate Matthews gave the female numbers in Hawaiian Lima, Kolu, Lima, 8, Lua, Ole, Akahi, 8. This number translates to 53582-018, an inmate registration number belonging to TOLSON, Charles #53582-018. Inmate Tolson is inmate Matthews' cellmate. Inmate Matthews spoke in Hawaiian using a foreign language to code his communication while giving the female inmate Tolson's register number. During rest of the conversation, inmate Matthews and the female spoke in English.

This finding is further based upon the testimony of inmate Matthews, in which he admitted that he is not disputing the accuracy of the content of the telephone call he placed on 8-8-2018 at 5:59 PM, as documented in Section 11 of the incident report in this case. This finding is further based upon the response of inmate Matthews to the DHO's questions of what the series of numbers was that he was relating to the female call recipient. Matthews testified, "it was my cellmate's (TOLSON, Charles \$53582-018) federal register number. I was giving it to her so she could get him set up with a pen pal. That's all that was going on." The DHO asked Matthews, if that was "all that was going on", then why didn't Matthews say Tolson's register number in the English language? Matthews testified, "I don't know. I don't really have an explanation. That's just how I always did it with her."

## Case 3:19-cv-00385-ARC-PT , Document 1: Filed 03/04/19 Page 9 of 11

BP-A0304 JAN 17

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

#### DISCIPLINE HEARING OFFICER REPORT

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF	FEDERAL BUREAU PRISONS	
Name of Inmate: MATTHEWS, Rohsaan	Reg. No.: 04892-122	Hearing Date: 8-12-2018 ;

Inmate Matthews, however, denied committing any prohibited act in this case. Inmate Matthews testified, in response to Section 11 of the incident report, "how am I speaking in code when I am speaking in my native language. It wasn't even Hawaiian I was speaking it was Samoan. He (the reporting officer) didn't even have one of the numbers right. I didn't say "akahi", I said "tashi", he was just writing it to make it fit what he wanted it to mean." The DHO asked what the series of numbers was that he was relating to the female call recipient. Matthews testified, "it was my cellmate's (TOLSON, Charles #53582-018) federal register number. I was giving it to her so she could get him set up with a pen pal. That's all that was going on." The DHO asked Matthews, if that was "all that was going on", then why didn't Matthews say Tolson's register number in the English language? Matthews testified, "I don't know. I don't really have an explanation. That's just how I always did it with her."

The DHO gives the greater weight of the evidence in this case to the eyewitness written account of the reporting officer, as well as the testimony of inmats Matthews, in which he admitted that he is not disputing the accuracy of the content of the telephone call he placed on 8-8-2018 at 5:59 PM, as documented in Section 11 of the incident report in this case. This finding is further based upon the response of inmate Matthews to the DHO's questions of what the series of numbers was that he was relating to the female call recipient. Matthews testified, "it was my cellmate's (TOLSON, Charles #53582-018) federal register number. I was giving it to her so she could get him set up with a pen pal. That's all that was going on." The DHO asked Matthews, if that was "all that was going on", then why didn't Matthews say Tolson's register number in the English language? Matthews testified, "I don't know. I don't really have an explanation. That's just how I always did it with her." This evidence indicates on 8-9-2018 at approximately 7:25 a.m., the reporting officer was listening to a telephone call placed by inmate MATTHEWS, Rohsaan #04892-122 on 8-8-2018 at 5:59 p.m. on phone line 3729-LEW-B-A to phone number (808) 209-1173. The phone number is registered to Langilangi Halaapiapi with an address of 83-5535 Old Government Road, Captain Cook, HI 97604. The phone was placed into cell 302 at 1:38 p.m. and remained in the cell until it was removed at 6:36 p.m. At 40 seconds into the call, the female call recipient said, "The number you keep giving me is wrong." Inmate Matthews started to stumble with his words and told the female to "hold on." After a couple seconds, inmate Matthews began to talk in Hawaiian. Inmate Matthews gave the female numbers in Hawaiian Lima, Kolu, Lima, 8, Lua, Ole, Akahi, 8. This number translates to 53582-018, an inmate registration number belonging to TOLSON, Charles #53582-018. Inmate Tolson is inmate Matthews' cellmate. Inmate Matthews spoke in Hawaiian using a foreign language to code his communication while giving the female inmate Tolson's register number. During rest of the conversation, inmate Matthews and the female spoke in English.

The DHO has considered as evidence in this case the testimony of inmate Matthews, in which he alleged he is not speaking in any sort of coded language, rather, was just speaking in his native language. The DHO finds this evidence to be less credible, thereby giving it less weight than that to which the greater weight is given in this case, for the following reasons. First, Matthews speaks in the English language during the entire telephone call, with exception to the point at which he relays a series of numbers in Hawaiian Lima, Kolu, Lima, 8, Lua, Ole, Akahi, 8. This number translates to 53582-018, an inmate registration number belonging to TOLSON, Charles #53582-018, Matthews' cellmate at the time of the incident. It is readily evident to the DHO that Matthews related Tolson's register number in a language other than English to code his communication while giving the female inmate Tolson's register number. Matthews is unquestionably speaking in veiled and coded terms, the sole intent of which was to deceive staff monitoring the telephone call as to the true meaning of the conversation, by concealing Tolson's register number. Speaking in veiled and coded language circumvents the ability of staff to properly monitor the content of the telephone call.

The greater weight of the evidence in this case, therefore, supports the finding inmate Matthews committed the prohibited act of Use of the Telephone for Abuses Which Circumvent the Ability of Staff to Monitor Frequency of Telephone Use and the Content of the Call, Code 297.

SANCTION OR ACTION TAKEN (List each prohibited act with respective sanctions for that act):

CODE: 297

Disallow Good Conduct Time: 27 days Loss of Telephone Privilege: 90 days Case 3:19-cv-00385-ARC-PT Decument 1 Filed 03/04/19 Page 10 of 11

BP-A0304 JAN 17

### DISCIPLINE HEARING OFFICER REPORT

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUS	TICE	FEDERAL BUREAU PRISONS
Name of Inmate: MATTHEWS, Rohsaan	Reg. No.: 04892-122	Hearing Date: 8-22-2018
VII. REASON FOR EACH SANCTION	N OR ACTION TAKEN:	
inmate telephone calls by using the ability of staff to proper of staff to maintain the security sanctions imposed, therefore, an adverse effect on the security misconduct. Disallowed Good (misconduct will prolong inmate imposed as a sanction as the result of the security of the security se	e institution. Immates who cing coded/veiled terms and languly monitor inmate telephone crity and good order of the insist to punish the inmate for hrity and good order of the insconduct Time is imposed as a see Matthews's period of incarce misconduct involved circumventhe inmate has been advised of action. The inmate has been	which staff is able to maintain the recumvent the ability of staff to monitor mage during the telephone calls hamper talls, and, thereby, hamper the ability stitution. The rationale for the sis misconduct, which is viewed as having titution, as well as to deter future anction to demonstrate that engaging in tration. Loss of telephone privileges is ing established telephone procedures. the findings, specific evidence relied advised of the right to appeal this medy Procedure. A copy of this report
IX. Discipline Hearing Offic		
Printed Name  B. Chambers, DHO	Signature —	ZI STITUTED WE
DHO report delivered to Inmate	3:   Joulus Signature 9/24/18 1530	

Prescribed by P5270

Printed Name (Staff)

Replaces BP-A0304 of AUG 11

